

Minutes – Minerva Primary Academy Council

Issue Date: Location: Time: 29 January 2024 Minerva Primary Academy 18:00 – 20:00

Bridget Suitters (BS) Jen Shaw (JSha) Clare Westbury (CW) Jennifer Harvey (JH) James Shephard (JShe) Sophie Pring (SP) Patricia Fry (PF) Alison Levinge (AL) Kate Richardson (KR) Sue Burns (SB)

Apologies: Danielle Cohen (DC) Mark Powell (MP) Sponsor Councillor - Chair Student Advocate & VP Support Staff Councillor Principal Parent Councillor *(joined via Teams)* Teacher LA Rep Sponsor Councillor Education Director *(left at 7.00pm)* Clerk

Sponsor Councillor Parent Councillor

1	Introductions and Welcome	
1.2	BS welcomed all the attendees and introductions were made.	
1.3	Apologies were received and accepted for; Danielle Cohen and Mark Powell	
1 a	Declarations of Interest	
1.4	The Academy Councillors confirmed that they had no change to their declarations.	
2	Academy Council	
	A Parent Academy Council election will be arranged this term.	
3	Minutes of the Previous Meeting	
3.1	The minutes of the previous meeting (21 st November 2023) were agreed to be a true and accurate record and were signed electronically by the Chair.	
4	Actions and Matters Arising	
4.1	None.	
5	Academy Council Report	
5.1	How is staff morale and how are you both feeling now that your Assistant	
	Principal has started maternity leave?	
	We've been planning for her maternity leave for a long time and the SENCo is	
	out of class which adds capacity to the areas that she's leading on. The	



	1	
	distribution of Sarah's role has been undertaken with thought and has gone	
	well. I continue to support with EDI in the short term.	
5.2	How is the preparation for SATS going for Y6? Are there any concerns?	
	We are currently in another round of mocks. They have been very useful to	
	quality assure what we predict the children will achieve. Outcomes are	
	improving and appear stronger compared to the same time last year. We have	
	had a few children leave and some new children start. We have a strong team	
	in Y6 who work well together. We have identified what additional access	
	arrangements some children may need.	
	We had a strategic pupil progress meeting across the Y6 cohort to determine	
	who would be best placed to provide support.	
5.3	Do you feel Y1 are on track with phonics?	
	We carried out Phonics screening in the first week and were able to	
	determine where the gaps are. The Y1 children are in a good place and the	
	cohort is quite small. We have had some children join Y1. We have case	
	studies for children who join us with little English but still achieve their	
	Phonics screening in Y2.	
	I attended professional development with the English Hub re Phonics. Our	
	whole team are trained in Phonics provision because reading is the gateway	
	to learning.	
	As early reading is so important, if we have supply cover, we aim to ensure a trained	
	MPA colleague teaches phonics.	
5.4	How are you ensuring that the lowest 20% of children are read with	
	regularly?	
	We've identified pupils via data and pupil progress meetings. The literacy lead	
	has been driving the strategy that allows time in the day for adults to read	
	with these children. Phonics and reading is a priority and therefore our	
	teachers provide Phonics lessons where required in KS2. In Reception, the	
	lowest 20% of children are supported by the teacher.	
5.5	Do you have volunteers come into school and listen to reading?	
	Yes, and we have a video on our website to support volunteers and parents	
	with how to read with children.	
5.6	I'm glad to see the improvement in pupil attendance continues. Which	
	measures have contributed to that?	
	We have made it very clear to staff and parents why attendance is so	
	important. Attendance is everyone's responsibility. We celebrate good	
	attendance and attendance improvements, and we share best practice to try	
	to improve others attendance. The staff know the children well and therefore	
	how to encourage them to come into school by making learning irresistible.	
	We challenge low attendance and will pursue legal proceedings where	
	required.	
5.7	Have you any more thoughts on how you communicate with parents of EAL	
J./	children?	
	We have written our Communication Policy and have ensured that the team	
	have the 'Say Hello' app on their ipads. Our families know that we can	
	translate when required. Attendance for our EAL families tends to be	
	stronger. We use an interpreter where required, e.g. if a safeguarding	
	conversation required.	



5.8		
F 0	What are the most store for EVEC as it is in a much strength or it is the site	
5.9	What are the next steps for EYFS as it is in a much stronger position than it has ever been?	
	We have an implementation cycle and things are in a strong place now. We've made strong appointments who have a shared vision. Now we need to focus	
	on sustaining our improvements. This is the third year that we've had	
	complex SEND need children join us, therefore we have sourced training from	
	the LA which has been well received.	
5.10	Are you still working towards the Bristol Standard?	
	Yes, we have completed two of the four dimensions and have submitted the	
	other two. It is a reflective process.	
5.11	Positive handling is still high, how does this effect other children?	
	We are proactive in terms of verbal de-escalation and physical intervention. If	
	we have to put hands on a child to keep them or others safe, then we have a	
	debrief with the class about being safe and respecting learning. We have a	
	Positive Handling Plan for children who need them in advance so that the	
	parents and child know what to expect. In the rare incident where we've not	
	had a plan in place, we have spoken to the child afterwards to ensure they	
	understand. Two of the children are placed at The Nest, therefore the number	
	of incidents has reduced.	
5.12	Do you feel children will be able to talk to OFSTED confidently about their	
	personal development?	
	If adults talk to the children about the curriculum and enrichment, then they	
	can be quite articulate. Our Pupil Voice groups are experienced in speaking	
	confidently.	
5.13	Were you disappointed with result of the safeguarding audit - 77%?	
	Yes, because I have high expectations. I recognize that we have improved	
	from last year and there were 215 things to evidence. One member of staff	
	who started the day before the audit and therefore haven't had time to	
	complete their safeguarding training. It was a useful process and	
5.14	we've already closed several things down.	
5.14	Will the DSL be responsible for the actions?	
	No, the actions are shared across the safeguarding team and the actions are all recorded on our strategic safeguarding action plan sheet.	
5.15	From the audit how will you evidence that vulnerable students' academic	
5.15	progress is evidenced as being monitored as this is something the school	
	does well?	
	As a leader I am aware of the processes we use and how to access data for	
	the vulnerable student cohort. I will convey this information to the DSL	
	because we are evidencing this, but on the day, she was not able to articulate	
	the answer to the question.	
5.16	I thought that 'child on child' had its own category and wasn't recorded as	
	bullying on CPOMS?	
	It does. But we needed to remove the 'bullying' category which has now been	
	done.	



5.17	Are you pleased with how the SENCO and DSL are settling into their new	
	roles and what are you doing to ensure that they are confident when talking	
	to Ofsted?	
	The DSL would be accompanied into a meeting and the SENCo is going to	
	liaise with Susie Weaver to rehearse some conversations to build her	
	confidence. She is engaging well with professional development offered in the	
	Trust and she has her SENCo qualification.	
5.18	It is pleasing that the ARV was positive. Is there any learning from it?	
	There is always something to learn. We made the process part of the learning	
	week where there are lots of lesson drop-ins and a strong induction for our	
	new staff. The ARV highlighted that we provide good support for our lowest	
	20% of readers but it could be improved. Also, that writing support needs to	
	be in place for lower years and not just predominantly in Y5 and Y6.	
5.19	Scrutiny didn't highlight any concerns but what will you action from the	
	recommendations?	
	Attendance will remain a focus. Sustaining progress in EYFS is a theme. A strong	
	induction for our SENCo will be a priority as well as supporting our newer	
	colleagues. The formative assessment of foundation subjects is on our	
	Academy Improvement Plan and continues to improve.	
	(Kate Richardson left the meeting at 7.00pm)	
5.20	Any thoughts on the reduced numbers of children in pre-school, Reception	
	and Y1 and what can be done to increase numbers?	
	We've had a conversation in a leadership meeting about this. Our numbers in	
	Reception and Y1 have increased since September. We have asked out pre-	
	school families what we can do better and have explored 30 hour provision but	
	recruitment is challenging. Parents want all-year round provision, but teachers'	
	pay and conditions won't permit this. The parents want longer hours which we	
	explored but cannot provide.	
5.21	Has the notice in the reception area that reminds parents/visitors of	
	expected behaviour had an impact?	
	We've not had any aggressive incidents in person this term, although we did	
	have one aggressive phone call.	
5.22	A drainpipe on the left of the entrance has been blocked for a long time. Is	
	there a proactive maintenance schedule in place?	
	We have a H&S Central Team who make regular checks and we contract work	
	to external agencies. Jo Rodman will be at the H&S Committee meeting next	
C	week.	
6	Policies	
6.1	Policies to Note:	
	CLF Complaints.	
	Policies to Approve	
	Policies to Approve	
	Communication Policy	
	Is there an anonymous way for parents to communicate?	
	The parent survey is anonymous. If they provide their contact details, then we	
	do follow up with them.	



	How many languages are spoken in the Academy? Possibly as many as twenty? The languages are also quite transient and the predominant language after English changes every year. The Academy Council approved the Communications Policy subject to grammatical amendments.	
7	Matters for the attention of the Board	
7.1	None.	
•		
8	Any Other Business	
8.1	PEX Hearings AL has attended several PEX Hearings and COAC have discussed the fact that the LA has not attended the PEX Hearings, and that the threshold for support by external agencies is too high.	
	<u>PEX Hearings</u> AL has attended several PEX Hearings and COAC have discussed the fact that the LA has not attended the PEX Hearings, and that the threshold for support	
8.1	PEX HearingsAL has attended several PEX Hearings and COAC have discussed the fact that the LA has not attended the PEX Hearings, and that the threshold for support by external agencies is too high.Fundraising Many staff are undertaking individual challenges to raise funds and we've	